UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

	FORM 10-Q		
Mark One)			
x QUARTERLY REPORT PUR 1934	RSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SE	ECURITIES EXCHANGE A	СТ ОБ
	For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2012		
	OR		
☐ TRANSITION REPORT PUR 1934	RSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SE	ECURITIES EXCHANGE A	СТ ОР
	For the transition period from to .		
	Commission File Number 1-33913		
OHANEV	DITEDING DRODUCTS CODE	ND ATION	
QUANEX	(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	DRAIION	
DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction	n of	26-1561397 (I.R.S. Employer	
incorporation or organizat		dentification No.)	
	1900 West Loop South, Suite 1500, Houston, Texas 77027 (Address of principal executive offices and zip code)		
Regi	strant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 961-46	500	
	(1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 1 horter period that the registrant was required to file such rep □		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	as submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site f Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the prst such files). Yes x No \square		-
	a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated ted filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the		y. See the
Large accelerated filer x		Accelerated filer	
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not	check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	
ndicate by check mark whether the registrant is	a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes □ No x	
ndicate the number of shares outstanding of eac	th of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practi	cable date.	
<u>Class</u> Common Stock, par value \$0.0		anding at June 5, 2012 36,835,454	

QUANEX BUILDING PRODUCTS CORPORATION INDEX

FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>1</u>
Financial Statements (Unaudited)	<u>1</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets – April 30, 2012 and October 31, 2011	<u>1</u>
Consolidated Statements of Income – Three and Six Months Ended April 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>2</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow – Six Months Ended April 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>3</u>
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity – Six Months Ended April 30, 2012	<u>4</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>5</u>
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>19</u>
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>28</u>
Controls and Procedures	<u>29</u>
OTHER INFORMATION	<u>30</u>
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>31</u>
	Financial Statements (Unaudited). Consolidated Balance Sheets – April 30, 2012 and October 31, 2011 Consolidated Statements of Income – Three and Six Months Ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow – Six Months Ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity – Six Months Ended April 30, 2012 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Controls and Procedures OTHER INFORMATION Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

QUANEX BUILDING PRODUCTS CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	April 30, 2012		October 31, 2011
	(In thousand	s exce	
ASSETS		,	
Current assets:			
Cash and equivalents	\$ 54,145	\$	89,619
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$1,137 and \$1,062	81,622		81,969
Inventories, net	65,384		55,842
Deferred income taxes	20,531		11,220
Prepaid and other current assets	7,117		6,423
Total current assets	228,799		245,073
Property, plant and equipment, net	163,809		158,209
Deferred income taxes	8,240		7,669
Goodwill	68,701		69,432
Intangible assets, net	83,086		87,943
Other assets	15,405		16,603
Total assets	\$ 568,040	\$	584,929
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 69,294	\$	66,339
Accrued liabilities	42,396		38,058
Current maturities of long-term debt	352		352
Total current liabilities	112,042		104,749
Long-term debt	1,183		1,314
Deferred pension and postretirement benefits	5,331		7,784
Liability for uncertain tax positions	8,580		8,412
Non-current environmental reserves	10,606		11,221
Other liabilities	14,465		14,223
Total liabilities	152,207		147,703
Stockholders' equity:			
Preferred stock, no par value, shares authorized 1,000,000; issued and outstanding - none	_		_
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, shares authorized 125,000,000; issued 37,800,604 and 37,843,134, respectively	378		378
Additional paid-in-capital	243,039		241,983
Retained earnings	190,854		213,143
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,802)		(3,642)
Less treasury stock at cost, 967,816 and 1,035,288 shares, respectively	(13,636)		(14,636)
Total stockholders' equity	415,833		437,226
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 568,040	\$	584,929

QUANEX BUILDING PRODUCTS CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended Six Months Ended April 30, April 30, 2012 2012 2011 2011 (In thousands, except per share amounts) Net sales \$ 194,444 \$ 203,112 \$ 356,023 \$ 362,920 Cost and expenses: Cost of sales (exclusive of items shown separately below) 171,837 175,512 309,879 315,167 Selling, general and administrative 22,024 42,318 29,125 54,277 Depreciation and amortization 9,561 7,861 19,250 15,386 Operating income (loss) (9,951)(16,079)(2,285)(27,383)Non-operating income (expense): Interest expense (114)(110)(240)(231)Other, net (39)338 178 438 Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes (16,232)(2,057)(27,445)(9,744)Income tax benefit (expense) 3,947 668 8,412 3,627 Income (loss) from continuing operations (12,285)(1,389)(19,033)(6,117)Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes (12)Net income (loss) \$ (12,285)(1,389)(19,033)\$ (6,129)Basic earnings per common share: Earnings (loss) from continuing operations \$ (0.04) \$ (0.34)(0.52)\$ (0.16)Income (loss) from discontinued operations (0.01)Basic earnings (loss) per share \$ (0.34)\$ (0.04)\$ (0.52)\$ (0.17)Diluted earnings per common share: Earnings (loss) from continuing operations \$ (0.04) \$ (0.52)(0.34) \$ \$ (0.16)Income (loss) from discontinued operations (0.01)Diluted earnings (loss) per share \$ (0.34)\$ (0.04)\$ (0.52)\$ (0.17)Weighted-average common shares outstanding: Basic 36,567 37,092 37,092 36,557 Diluted 36,567 37,092 37,092 36,557

QUANEX BUILDING PRODUCTS CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended

	April 30,			
		2012		2011
		(In tho	usands)
Operating activities:	ф	(40.000)	ф	(6.420)
Net income (loss)	\$	(19,033)	\$	(6,129)
Loss (income) from discontinued operations		_		12
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations:		40.00=		4= 400
Depreciation and amortization		19,285		15,420
Restructuring charges		2,759		1,404
Deferred income taxes		(10,064)		(4,539)
Stock-based compensation		2,879		2,722
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions and dispositions:				
Decrease (increase) in accounts and notes receivable		111		(1,176)
Decrease (increase) in inventory		(9,658)		(12,961)
Decrease (increase) in other current assets		(705)		(1,095)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable		3,493		6,097
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities		(883)		(7,675)
Increase (decrease) in income taxes		425		460
Increase (decrease) in deferred pension and postretirement benefits		(2,453)		(29)
Other, net		2,054		2,152
Cash provided by (used for) operating activities from continuing operations		(11,790)		(5,337)
Cash provided by (used for) operating activities from discontinued operations		_		(68)
Cash provided by (used for) operating activities		(11,790)		(5,405)
Investing activities:				
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		_		(110,845)
Capital expenditures		(21,311)		(10,896)
Proceeds from executive life insurance		_		683
Other, net		21		75
Cash provided by (used for) investing activities from continuing operations		(21,290)		(120,983)
Cash provided by (used for) investing activities from discontinued operations		_		_
Cash provided by (used for) investing activities		(21,290)		(120,983)
Financing activities:				
Repayments of long-term debt		(131)		(23)
Common stock dividends paid		(2,939)		(3,001)
Purchase of treasury stock		(1,284)		(1,504)
Issuance of common stock from stock option exercises, including related tax benefits		1,427		1,048
Other, net				392
Cash provided by (used for) financing activities from continuing operations		(2,927)	-	(3,088)
Cash provided by (used for) financing activities from discontinued operations		(=,5=7)		(392)
Cash provided by (used for) financing activities Cash provided by (used for) financing activities		(2,927)		(3,480)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and equivalents		533		(43)
Less: (Increase) decrease in cash and equivalents from discontinued operations				460
Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents from continuing operations		(DE 474)		
		(35,474)		(129,451)
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period	Φ.	89,619	<u></u>	187,178
Cash and equivalents at end of period	\$	54,145	\$	57,727

QUANEX BUILDING PRODUCTS CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended April 30, 2012	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital		Retained Earnings	C	Accumulated Other omprehensive ncome (Loss)		Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
				thousands, excep					
Balance at October 31, 2011	\$ 378	\$	241,983	\$ 213,143	\$	(3,642)	\$	(14,636)	\$ 437,226
Net income (loss)			_	(19,033)		_		_	(19,033)
Foreign currency translation adjustment (net of taxes of \$66)	_		_	_		(1,160)		_	(1,160)
Common dividends (\$0.08 per share)	_		_	(2,939)				_	(2,939)
Treasury shares purchased, at cost	_		_	_	_			(1,284)	(1,284)
Stock-based compensation activity:									
Stock-based compensation earned	_		2,304	_		_		_	2,304
Stock options exercised	_		(29)	(4)		_		1,098	1,065
Restricted stock awards	_		(1,186)	_		_		1,186	_
Stock-based compensation tax benefit	_		298	_		_		_	298
Other	_		(331)	(313)		_		_	(644)
Balance at April 30, 2012	\$ 378	\$	243,039	\$ 190,854	\$	(4,802)	\$	(13,636)	\$ 415,833

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Quanex Building Products Corporation and its subsidiaries (Quanex or the Company) are managed on a decentralized basis and operate in two business segments: Engineered Products and Aluminum Sheet Products. The Engineered Products segment produces engineered systems, products and components primarily serving the window and door industry, while the Aluminum Sheet Products segment produces mill finished and coated aluminum sheet serving the broader building products markets and secondary markets such as capital goods and transportation. The primary market drivers are residential housing starts and residential remodeling expenditures. Quanex believes it is a technological leader in the production of aluminum sheet products, flexible insulating glass spacer systems, extruded vinyl profiles, thin film solar panel sealants, and precision-formed metal and wood products which primarily serve the North American building products markets. The Company uses low-cost production processes, and engineering and metallurgical expertise to provide customers with specialized products for specific applications.

On December 12, 2007, Quanex Building Products Corporation was incorporated in the state of Delaware as a subsidiary of Quanex Corporation to facilitate the separation of Quanex Corporation's vehicular products and building products businesses. The separation occurred on April 23, 2008 through the spin-off of Quanex Corporation's building products business to its shareholders immediately followed by the merger of Quanex Corporation (consisting principally of the vehicular products business and all non-building products related corporate accounts) with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gerdau S.A. (Gerdau). This is hereafter referred to as the "Separation". In 2010, management closed its start-up facility in China, and accordingly, the China assets and liabilities, results of operations and cash flows are reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented. In March 2011, the Company acquired Edgetech I.G., Inc. and its German subsidiary. Headquartered in Cambridge, Ohio, Edgetech has three manufacturing facilities (U.S., U.K. and Germany) that produce and market a full line of insulating glass spacer systems for window and door customers in North America and abroad. In November 2011, management committed to a plan to consolidate its Truseal and U.S. Edgetech facilities. Unless otherwise noted, all disclosures in the notes accompanying the consolidated financial statements reflect only continuing operations.

The interim unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company include all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position and results of operations. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying footnotes. Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be perceived with certainty. Estimates may change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information becomes available and as the Company's operating environment changes. Actual results could differ from estimates. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-28 (ASU 2010-28) *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350) — When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts* which amended ASC Topic 350 "Goodwill and Other." ASU 2010-28 requires an entity with reporting units that have carrying amounts that are zero or negative to assess whether it is more likely than not that the reporting units' goodwill is impaired. If the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the goodwill of one or more of its reporting units is impaired, the entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test for those reporting unit(s) and record any resulting impairment as a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings. The provisions of ASU 2010-28 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company adopted this standard on November 1, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2010-28 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04 (ASU 2011-04), *Fair Value Measurement (ASC Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs.* The amended guidance changes the wording used to describe many requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Additionally, the amendments clarify the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. The guidance provided in ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 (February 1, 2012 for the Company) and is applied prospectively. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 (ASU 2011-05), Presentation of Comprehensive

Income. This amendment will require companies to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income either as one continuous statement or as two consecutive statements. It eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amended guidance, which must be applied retroactively, is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 (November 1, 2012 for the Company), with earlier adoption permitted. ASU 2011-05 impacts presentation only and will have no effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12 (ASU 2011-12), "Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05." ASU 2011-12 deferred the effective date of ASU 2011-05 related to the presentation of reclassifications of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income. All other requirements of ASU 2011-05 were not affected by ASU 2011-12.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08 (ASU 2011-08), *Testing Goodwill for Impairment* which amends the guidance in ASC 350-20. The amendments in ASU 2011-08 provide entities with the option of performing a qualitative assessment before performing the first step of the two-step impairment test. If entities determine, on the basis of qualitative factors, it is not more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test would be unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, then the entity is required to perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. ASU 2011-08 also provides entities with the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to the first step of the two-step impairment test. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 (November 1, 2012 for the Company), although early adoption is permitted. The provisions of ASU 2011-08 will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-11 (ASU 2011-11), *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*, where entities are required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This scope would include derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements. These disclosures assist users of financial statements in evaluating the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on a company's financial position. The Company is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 (November 1, 2013 for the Company). The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2011-11 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

3. Acquisitions

On March 31, 2011, Quanex completed its acquisition of Edgetech I.G., Inc., an Ohio corporation ("Edgetech I.G."), the United Kingdom division of Edgetech ("Edgetech UK"), and Edgetech Europe GmbH, a German company ("Edgetech Germany" and together with Edgetech I.G. and Edgetech UK, "Edgetech"). Headquartered in Cambridge, Ohio, Edgetech has three manufacturing facilities located in the United States, the United Kingdom and Germany that produce a full line of warm-edge, dual seal insulating glass spacer systems for window and door customers in North America and abroad. Edgetech's products separate and seal double and triple glass within a window and act as a thermal barrier that enhances the window's energy efficiency. Edgetech has been integrated into the Engineered Products segment.

As consideration for the acquisition, Quanex paid \$104.4 million in cash, net of \$0.8 million of cash acquired. Of the cash paid, \$7.0 million was placed into an escrow fund to satisfy certain indemnity obligations under the merger agreement. Additionally, Quanex is responsible for the tax liability resulting from the pre-closing reorganization of Lauren and its subsidiaries limited to \$3.5 million, of which \$24 thousand is remaining to be paid. The Edgetech acquisition was accounted for as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting under which the total purchase price consideration is allocated to assets and liabilities assumed based upon their fair values. The purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill of \$44.6 million, which is not deductible for income tax purposes. Any transaction-related costs in connection with the Edgetech acquisition were expensed as occurred within Selling, general and administrative expense in its Consolidated Statement of Income.

The following table provides consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations for the combined entity for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 as if Edgetech had been acquired as of November 1, 2009.

	Three Months Ended					Six Mon	ths E	nded
		Apr	il 30,			Apr	il 30,	
	Actual		Actual Pro form		Actual			Pro forma
		2012		2011		2012		2011
		(In thousa per share						
Net sales	\$	194,444	\$	215,582	\$	356,023	\$	392,917
Operating income (loss)		(16,079)		(865)		(27,383)		(7,585)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(12,285)	\$	(422)	\$	(19,033)	\$	(4,730)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share from continuing operations	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.52)	\$	(0.13)

The unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations were prepared using the acquisition method of accounting and are based on the historical financial information of the Company and Edgetech. The unaudited pro forma financial information does not purport to reflect the results the combined company may achieve in future periods or the results that would have been obtained had Quanex acquired Edgetech on the first day of its fiscal 2010 period. The unaudited pro forma financial information does not include any operating efficiencies or cost savings that may be achieved or any integration expenses. Additionally, the historical Edgetech financial information has not been adjusted to remove expenses that have ceased under Quanex's ownership, such as the prior parent company allocation, or to add incremental anticipated stand-alone expenses going forward. Generally, the pro forma financial information reflects the allocation of the purchase price to the appropriate assets and liabilities based upon their fair values, and related changes in depreciation and amortization expense. Accordingly, such amounts are not necessarily indicative of the results that would have occurred if the acquisition had occurred on the dates indicated or that may result in the future. The unaudited pro forma information reflects primarily the following unaudited pro forma adjustments for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011:

- Historical pre-acquisition results of operations for Edgetech for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011;
- Amortization expense related to the fair value of identifiable intangible assets acquired;
- · Additional depreciation expense related to the fair value adjustment to property, plant and equipment acquired;
- Additional facility rental expense;
- Reduction of cost of goods sold of \$0.9 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 to remove the value of inventory sold as a result of applying purchasing accounting;
- Reduction of expense of \$2.2 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 to remove the transaction-related costs incurred;
- The Edgetech historical results and all of the above adjustments were adjusted for the applicable tax impact.

4. Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

Goodwill

All of the Company's goodwill is recorded on the Engineered Products segment. The change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the period ended April 30, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	ngineered acts Goodwill
Balance at October 31, 2011	\$ 69,432
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(731)
Balance at April 30, 2012	\$ 68,701

Acquired Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	 As of Apr	, 2012	 As of Octo	ober 31, 2011		
	Gross Carrying Accumulated Amount Amortization			Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangible assets:						
Customer relationships	\$ 43,914	\$	(10,136)	\$ 44,260	\$	(8,588)
Trademarks and trade names	44,662		(13,034)	44,857		(11,540)
Patents and other technology	25,077		(8,464)	25,300		(7,552)
Other	1,391		(324)	1,392		(186)
Total	\$ 115,044	\$	(31,958)	\$ 115,809	\$	(27,866)

The aggregate amortization expense for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 was \$2.1 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. The aggregate amortization expense for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 was \$1.3 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. The increased amortization expense relates to the intangibles acquired in the March 2011 Edgetech acquisition. Estimated amortization expense for the next five years for existing intangibles follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years Ending October 31,	Estimated Amortization			
	(Ir	n thousands)		
2012 (remaining six months)	\$	4,159		
2013	\$	8,250		
2014	\$	8,126		
2015	\$	7,988		
2016	\$	7,747		

5. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	April 30, 2012		tober 31, 2011
	(In the	ousands)	
Raw materials	\$ 29,764	\$	27,862
Finished goods and work in process	32,677		24,988
Supplies and other	2,943		2,992
Total	\$ 65,384	\$	55,842

Fixed costs related to excess manufacturing capacity, if any, have been expensed in the period, and therefore, are not capitalized into inventory. The values of inventories are based on the following accounting methods:

	 April 30, 2012		october 31, 2011
	 (In tho		
LIFO	\$ 21,024	\$	18,782
FIFO	44,360		37,060
Total	\$ 65,384	\$	55,842

An actual valuation of inventory under the last in, first out (LIFO) method can be made only at the end of each year based on the inventory costs and levels at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations must be based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory costs and levels. Because these are subject to many factors beyond management's control, interim

results are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation which could significantly differ from interim estimates. To estimate the effect of LIFO on interim periods, the Company performs a projection of the year-end LIFO reserve and considers expected year-end inventory pricing and expected inventory levels. Depending on this projection, the Company may record an interim allocation of the projected year-end LIFO calculation. This projection resulted in zero interim LIFO allocation (reported in Cost of sales) for the six months ended April 30, 2012. With respect to inventories valued using the LIFO method, replacement cost exceeded the LIFO value by approximately \$12.3 million as of April 30, 2012 and October 31, 2011, respectively.

6. Earnings and Dividends Per Share

Earnings Per Share

Fiscal 2012 and 2011 basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations are identical for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 as the Company reported a loss from continuing operations. The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes outstanding options and other common stock equivalents in periods where inclusion of such potential common stock instruments would be anti-dilutive in the periods presented. When income from continuing operations is a loss, all potential dilutive instruments are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as they would be anti-dilutive. Accordingly, for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, 0.1 million and 0.1 million, respectively, of restricted stock and 0.4 million and 0.4 million, respectively, of common stock equivalents were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the Company had a loss from continuing operations. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2011, 0.3 million and 0.2 million, respectively, of common stock equivalents were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as the Company had a loss from continuing operations.

For the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company had 0.8 million and 0.3 million, respectively, of securities that are potentially dilutive in future earnings per share calculations. Such dilution will be dependent on the excess of the market price of the Company's stock over the exercise price and other components of the treasury stock method.

Dividends Per Share

During the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, the Company paid \$0.04 and \$0.08, respectively, cash dividend per common share. During the three and six months ended April 30, 2011, the Company paid \$0.04 and \$0.08, respectively, cash dividend per common share.

7. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income comprises net income and all other non-owner changes in equity, including foreign currency translation, pension related adjustments and realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives, if any. Comprehensive income for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended					Six Months Ended				
	April 30,					Apr	il 30,			
		2012		2011		2012		2011		
				(In tho	usand	s)				
Comprehensive income (loss):										
Net income (loss)	\$	(12,285)	\$	(1,389)	\$	(19,033)	\$	(6,129)		
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of taxes		673		1,885		(1,160)		1,977		
Total comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	\$	(11,612)	\$	496	\$	(20,193)	\$	(4,152)		

The 2012 and 2011 foreign currency translation activity is primarily associated with Edgetech, acquired by the Company on March 31, 2011. As a result of this acquisition, Quanex has operations in Germany and the United Kingdom whose functional currency is the Euro and the British Pound Sterling, respectively.

8. Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	April 30, 2012	(October 31, 2011
	(In tho	usands)	
Revolving Credit Facility	\$ _	\$	_
City of Richmond, Kentucky Industrial Building Revenue Bonds	800		900
Scott County, Iowa Industrial Waste Recycling Revenue Bonds	600		600
Capital lease obligations and other	135		166
Total debt	\$ 1,535	\$	1,666
Less maturities due within one year included in current liabilities	352		352
Long-term debt	\$ 1,183	\$	1,314

The Company's \$270.0 million Senior Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility (the Credit Facility) was executed on April 23, 2008. The Credit Facility has a five-year term and is unsecured. The Credit Facility expires April 23, 2013 and provides for up to \$50.0 million for standby letters of credit, limited to the undrawn amount available under the Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at a spread above LIBOR based on a combined leverage and ratings grid. Proceeds from the Credit Facility may be used to provide availability for acquisitions, working capital, capital expenditures and general corporate purposes.

Under the Credit Facility, the Company is obligated to comply with certain financial covenants requiring the Company to maintain a Consolidated Leverage Ratio of no more than 3.25 to 1 and a Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio of no less than 3.00 to 1. As defined by the Credit Facility's indenture, the Consolidated Leverage Ratio is the ratio of consolidated indebtedness as of such date to consolidated EBITDA for the previous four fiscal quarters; and the Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is the ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense for the previous four consecutive fiscal quarters. EBITDA is defined by the indenture to include proforma EBITDA of acquisitions and to exclude certain items like non-cash charges. Additionally, the Credit Facility contains certain limitations on additional indebtedness, asset or equity sales, and acquisitions. Dividends and other distributions are permitted so long as after giving effect to such dividend or stock repurchase, there is no event of default.

As of April 30, 2012, the Company had no borrowings under the Credit Facility, and the Company was in compliance with all Credit Facility financial covenants. The availability under the Credit Facility is a function of both the facility amount utilized and meeting covenant requirements. Although there were no borrowings on the Credit Facility and only \$5.7 of outstanding letters of credit under the Credit Facility, the aggregate availability under the Credit Facility was limited by the Consolidated Leverage Ratio resulting in an availability of \$166.0 million at April 30, 2012.

9. Retirement Plans

The Company has a number of retirement plans covering substantially all employees. The Company provides both defined benefit and defined contribution plans. In general, the plant or location of employment determines an employee's coverage for retirement benefits. Effective with the respective acquisition dates in the second fiscal quarter of 2011, the Edgetech employees were eligible to participate in the Company's retirement plans.

Pension Plan

The Company has a non-contributory, single employer defined benefit pension plan that covers substantially all non-union employees. Effective January 1, 2007, the Company amended this defined benefit pension plan to include a new cash balance formula for all new salaried employees hired on or after January 1, 2007 and for any non-union employees who were not participating in a defined benefit plan prior to January 1, 2007. All new salaried employees are eligible to receive credits equivalent to 4% of their annual eligible wages, while some of the employees at the time of the plan amendment were "grandfathered" and are eligible to receive credits ranging up to 6.5% based upon a percentage they received in the defined contribution plan prior to the amendment of the pension plan. Additionally, every year the participants will receive an interest related credit on their respective balance equivalent to the prevailing 30-year Treasury rate. Benefits for participants in this plan prior to January 1, 2007 continue to be based on a more traditional formula for retirement benefits, where the plan pays benefits to employees upon retirement using a formula based upon years of service and pensionable compensation prior to retirement. Of the Company's participants, 99% are under the cash balance formula.

The components of net periodic pension cost are as follows:

	Three Mo	nths En	ded		Six Mon	ths En	ded	
	 Apr	il 30,			April 30,			
	 2012		2011		2012		2011	
			(In tho	ısands)				
Net periodic benefit cost:								
Service cost	\$ 846	\$	945	\$	1,826	\$	1,883	
Interest cost	204		201		408		401	
Expected return on plan assets	(303)		(261)		(580)		(519)	
Amortization of net loss	23		23		73		45	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 770	\$	908	\$	1,727	\$	1,810	

The decrease in net periodic benefit cost from 2011 to 2012 is primarily attributable to decreases in the liabilities and normal costs used to calculate the net periodic benefit cost primarily attributable to demographic data changes. The Company's pension funding policy generally has been to make the minimum annual contributions required by applicable regulations while considering targeted funded percentages. In fiscal 2010, the Company decided to modify its funding strategy and accelerate contributions to target a 100% funding threshold. Additionally, the Company will consider funding fiscal year requirements early in the fiscal year to potentially maximize returns on assets. During the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, the Company contributed \$4.2 million to the plan. The Company does not expect to make any additional contributions for the balance of fiscal 2012. Future contributions are dependent on many variables, including the variability of the market value of the assets as compared to the obligation and other market or regulatory conditions. In addition, the Company takes into consideration its business investment opportunities and resulting cash requirements. Accordingly, actual funding may differ greatly from current estimates.

10. Industry Segment Information

Quanex has two reportable segments: Engineered Products and Aluminum Sheet Products. The Engineered Products segment produces systems, finished products and components serving the OEM residential window and door industry, while the Aluminum Sheet Products segment produces mill finished and coated aluminum sheet serving the broader building and construction markets. The primary market drivers of both segments are residential repair and remodel activity (R&R) and new home construction.

The Company measures its inventory at the segment level on a FIFO or weighted-average basis; however, at the consolidated Company level, approximately one third of the inventory is measured on a LIFO basis. The LIFO reserve is computed on a consolidated basis as a single pool and is thus treated as a corporate expense. See Note 5 to the financial statements for more information. LIFO inventory adjustments along with corporate office charges and intersegment eliminations are reported as Corporate, Intersegment Eliminations or Other. The Company accounts for intersegment sales and transfers as though the sales or transfers were to third parties, that is, at current market prices. Intersegment sales, related cost of sales, and intercompany profit are eliminated in consolidation at Corporate. Corporate assets primarily include cash and equivalents partially offset by the Company's consolidated LIFO inventory reserve.

	Three M End Apri			Six Mont	ths Ended		
	 2012	11 50,	2011		2012	11 50,	2011
	 		(In tho	usands			
Net Sales:							
Engineered Products	\$ 108,770	\$	82,493	\$	208,163	\$	166,504
Aluminum Sheet Products	88,293		123,059		153,993		202,197
Intersegment Eliminations	(2,619)		(2,440)		(6,133)		(5,781)
Consolidated	\$ 194,444	\$	203,112	\$	356,023	\$	362,920
Operating Income (Loss):							
Engineered Products	\$ 83	\$	1,914	\$	1,887	\$	1,266
Aluminum Sheet Products	(7,533)		6,058		(13,051)		6,609
Corporate & Other	(8,629)		(10,257)		(16,219)		(17,826)
Consolidated	\$ (16,079)	\$	(2,285)	\$	(27,383)	\$	(9,951)

	 April 30, 2012 (In the	October 31, 2011 ousands)		
Identifiable Assets:				
Engineered Products	\$ 391,099	\$	389,889	
Aluminum Sheet Products	133,833		132,161	
Corporate, Intersegment Eliminations & Other	43,108		62,879	
Consolidated	\$ 568,040	\$	584,929	
Goodwill:				
Engineered Products	\$ 68,701	\$	69,432	
Consolidated	\$ 68,701	\$	69,432	

11. Stock-Based Compensation

Effective with the Separation on April 23, 2008, the Company established the Quanex Building Products Corporation 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2008 Plan). The 2008 Plan provides for the granting of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units (RSUs), performance stock awards, performance unit awards, annual incentive awards, other stock-based awards and cash-based awards. The 2008 Plan is administered by the Compensation and Management Development Committee of the Board of Directors and allows for immediate, graded or cliff vesting options, but options must be exercised no later than ten years from the date of grant. The aggregate number of shares of common stock originally authorized for grant under the 2008 Plan was 2,900,000. At the Company's annual shareholder meeting in February 2011, the shareholders approved an amendment which increased the aggregate number of shares available for grant under the 2008 Plan by an additional 2,400,000 shares. Any officer, key employee and/or non-employee director of the Company or any of its affiliates is eligible for awards under the 2008 Plan. The initial awards granted under the 2008 Plan were on April 23, 2008; service is the vesting condition.

The Company's practice is to grant options and restricted stock or RSUs to non-employee directors on the last business day of each fiscal year, with an additional grant of options to each director on the date of his or her first anniversary of service. Additionally, the Company's practice is to grant options, restricted stock and RSUs to employees at the Company's December board meeting and occasionally to key employees as deemed appropriate at other times during the year. The exercise price of the option awards is equal to the closing market price on the date granted. The Company generally issues shares from treasury stock, if available, to satisfy stock option exercises and grants of restricted stock. If there are no shares in treasury stock, the Company issues additional shares of common stock. The Company has not capitalized any stock-based compensation cost as part of inventory or fixed assets during the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011.

Restricted Stock Awards

Under the 2008 Plan, common stock may be awarded to key employees, officers and non-employee directors. The recipient is entitled to all of the rights of a shareholder, except that during the forfeiture period the shares are nontransferable. The awards vest over a specified time period, but typically either immediately vest or cliff vest over a three-year period with service as the vesting condition. Upon issuance of stock under the plan, fair value is measured by the grant-date price of the Company's shares. This fair value is then expensed over the restricted period with a corresponding increase to additional paid-incapital. A summary of non-vested restricted stock award changes during the six months ended April 30, 2012 follows:

	Shares	Gran	ted - Average nt-Date Fair e Per Share
Non-vested at October 31, 2011	256,390	\$	12.67
Granted	83,900		15.08
Vested	(115,790)		7.82
Non-vested at April 30, 2012	224,500	\$	16.08

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted stock granted during the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$15.08 and \$17.14 per share, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock vested during the six months ended April 30, 2012 was \$0.9 million. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to unamortized restricted stock awards was \$1.9 million as

of April 30, 2012. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

Stock Options

As described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011, the Company uses the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of its stock options. The fair value of each option was estimated on the date of grant. The following is a summary of valuation assumptions and resulting grant-date fair values for grants during the following periods:

		Six Months Ended				
		April 30,				
	201	2	2011			
Weighted-average expected volatility		54.0%	53.0%			
Expected term (in years)		4.9-5.1	4.9-5.1			
Risk-free interest rate		1.0%	1.7%			
Expected dividend yield over expected term		1.0%	1.0%			
Weighted-average grant-date fair value per share	\$	6.49 \$	7.57			

Below is a table summarizing the stock option shares activity for the 2008 Plan since October 31, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000s)
Outstanding at October 31, 2011	2,137,436	\$ 14.08		
Granted	455,050	15.08		
Exercised	(77,909)	13.67		
Cancelled/Expired	(3,300)	13.94		
Outstanding at April 30, 2012	2,511,277	14.28	7.5	\$ 10,567
Vested or expected to vest at April 30, 2012	2,444,823	14.21	7.5	\$ 10,432
Exercisable at April 30, 2012	1,672,985	\$ 13.41	6.8	\$ 8,456

The total intrinsic value of options (the amount by which the market price of the stock on the date of exercise exceeded the exercise price of the option) exercised during the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$2.3 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options granted under the 2008 Plan was \$3.9 million as of April 30, 2012. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

Restricted Stock Units

RSUs vest over a specified time period, but typically either immediately vest or cliff vest over a three-year period with service as the vesting condition. RSUs are not considered to be outstanding shares of common stock and do not have voting rights. Holders of RSUs receive cash for an equivalent amount of cash dividends paid on the underlying common stock. Upon the earlier of the date the director ceases to be a board member or a change of control or upon vesting for the employee grants, each RSU is payable in cash in an amount equal to the market value of one share of the Company's common stock. Accordingly, the RSU liability will be adjusted to fair market value at each reporting date. The Company granted 137,500 and 0 RSU awards, respectively, during the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011. The fair market value per share of the outstanding awards was \$18.43 and \$20.96 as of April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The aggregate amount charged to expense with respect to these awards was \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million for the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The number of RSU awards outstanding as of April 30, 2012 and October 31, 2011 was 184,226 and 56,410, respectively.

12. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is determined by applying an estimated annual effective income tax rate to income from continuing operations before income taxes. The rate is based on the most recent annualized forecast of pretax income, permanent book versus tax differences and tax credits. The Company's estimated annual effective tax rate for the six months ended April 30, 2012 is 30.7% compared to the estimated annual effective tax rate of 37.2% for the six months ended April 30, 2011. The decrease in the effective tax rate benefit is primarily attributable to nondeductible employee related items in the current year.

Prepaid and other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets include an income tax receivable of \$0.2 million as of April 30, 2012 and October 31, 2011.

The Company's unrecognized tax benefit (UTB) is related to the Separation and state tax items regarding the interpretations of tax laws and regulations. The total UTB as of April 30, 2012 is \$19.2 million. Of this, \$8.6 million is recorded in Liability for uncertain tax positions and \$10.6 million is recorded in Deferred income taxes (non-current assets) on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The UTB includes \$17.9 million for which the disallowance of such items would not affect the annual effective tax rate.

Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or income tax returns. The final outcome of the future tax consequences of legal proceedings, if any, as well as the outcome of competent authority proceedings, changes in regulatory tax laws, or interpretation of those tax laws could impact the Company's financial statements. The Company is subject to the effects of these matters occurring in various jurisdictions. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that a decrease of approximately \$3.5 million in the UTB may be recognized within the next twelve months as a result of a lapse in the statute of limitations.

13. Contingencies

Environmental

Quanex is subject to extensive laws and regulations concerning the discharge of materials into the environment and the remediation of chemical contamination. To satisfy such requirements, Quanex must make capital and other expenditures on an ongoing basis. The Company accrues its best estimates of its remediation obligations and adjusts such accruals as further information and circumstances develop. Those estimates may change substantially depending on information about the nature and extent of contamination, appropriate remediation technologies, and regulatory approvals. In accruing for environmental remediation liabilities, costs of future expenditures are not discounted to their present value, unless the amount and timing of the expenditures are fixed or reliably determinable. When environmental laws might be deemed to impose joint and several liability for the costs of responding to contamination, the Company accrues its allocable share of liability taking into account the number of parties participating, their ability to pay their shares, the volumes and nature of the wastes involved, the nature of anticipated response actions, and the nature of the Company's alleged connections. The cost of environmental matters has not had a material adverse effect on Quanex's operations or financial condition in the past, and management is not currently aware of any conditions that it believes are likely to have a material adverse effect on Quanex's operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Total environmental reserves and corresponding recovery for the Company as of April 30, 2012 and October 31, 2011 were as follows:

		April 30, 2012	October 31, 2011		
)			
Current ¹	\$	1,804	\$	1,965	
Non-current		10,606		11,221	
Total environmental reserves	\$	12,410	\$	13,186	
Receivable for recovery of remediation costs ²	\$	11,860	\$	12,304	

Reported in Accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Currently, the Company's ongoing remediation activities are at one of its subsidiaries, Nichols Aluminum-Alabama, LLC (NAA). NAA operates a plant in Decatur, Alabama that is subject to an Alabama Hazardous Wastes Management and Minimization Act Post-Closure Permit. Among other things, the permit requires NAA to remediate, as directed by the state, historical environmental releases of wastes and waste constituents. Consistent with the permit, NAA has undertaken various studies of site conditions and, during the first quarter of 2006, started a phased program to treat in-place free product petroleum that had been released underneath the plant. During the second quarter 2010, NAA submitted to the state the first component of its proposed workplan for implementing a site-wide remedy. The full workplan was submitted to the state during the third quarter 2010, revised during the second quarter 2011 to reflect both additional sampling data and responses to state comments, and revised again in the fourth quarter 2011 in response to another round of state comments. Based on those plans, which remain subject to further comment, revision, and state approval, the Company's remediation reserve at NAA's Decatur plant is \$12.3 million as of April 30, 2012. Approximately \$1.2 million of the April 30, 2012 reserve represents administrative costs; the balance of \$11.1 million represents estimated costs for investigation, studies, cleanup, and treatment. The reserve has not been discounted. NAA was acquired through a stock purchase in which the sellers agreed to indemnify Quanex and NAA for identified environmental matters related to the business and based on conditions initially created or events initially occurring prior to the acquisition. Environmental conditions are presumed to relate to the period prior to the acquisition unless proved to relate to releases occurring entirely after closing. The limit on indemnification is \$21.5 million excluding legal fees. While the Company's current estimates indicate it will not reach this limit, changing circumstances could result in additional costs or expense that are not foreseen at this time. In accordance with the indemnification, the indemnitors paid the first \$1.5 million of response costs and have been paying 90% of ongoing costs. Based on its experience to date, its estimated cleanup costs going forward, and costs incurred to date as of April 30, 2012, the Company expects to recover from the sellers' shareholders an additional \$11.9 million which has not been discounted. Of that, \$11.1 million is recorded in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the balance is reflected in Accounts receivable on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The undiscounted recovery from indemnitors as of October 31, 2011was \$12.3 million.

The Company's final remediation costs and the timing of those expenditures will depend upon such factors as the nature and extent of contamination, the cleanup technologies employed, the effectiveness of the cleanup measures that are employed, and regulatory concurrences. While actual remediation costs, therefore, may be more or less than amounts accrued, the Company believes it has established adequate reserves for all probable and reasonably estimable remediation liabilities. It is not possible at this point to reasonably estimate the amount of any obligation for remediation in excess of current accruals because of uncertainties as to the extent of environmental impact, cleanup technologies, and concurrence of governmental authorities. The Company currently expects to pay the accrued remediation reserve through at least fiscal 2034, although some of the same factors discussed earlier could accelerate or extend the timing.

Other

From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries are involved in various litigation matters arising in the ordinary course of their business. Although the ultimate resolution and impact of such litigation on the Company is not presently determinable, the Company's management believes that the eventual outcome of such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the overall financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

14. Warranty Obligations

The Company's estimated obligations for warranty are accrued concurrently with the revenue recognized. The Company makes provisions for its warranty obligations based upon historical experience incurred for such obligations adjusted, as necessary,

Reported in Accounts receivable and Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

for current conditions and factors. Due to the significant uncertainties and judgments involved in estimating the Company's warranty obligations, including changing product designs, variance in customer installation process and future claims experience varying from historical claims experience, the ultimate amount incurred for warranty costs could change in the near and long-term from the current estimate.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the activity related to the Company's accrued warranty, including both the current (reported in Accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets) and long-term portions (reported in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets), for the six months ended April 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	April 30, 2012
Balance at October 31, 2011	\$ 5,262
Provision for warranty expense	316
Warranty costs paid	(164)
Total accrued warranty	\$ 5,414
Less long-term portion	3,565
Current accrued warranty	\$ 1,849

15. Fair Value Measurement of Assets and Liabilities

The Company's investments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are categorized below using the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on reliability of inputs used to determine fair value. Level 1 refers to fair values determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. Level 2 refers to fair values obtained from readily available pricing sources for comparable instruments and Level 3 includes fair values requiring measurement without observable market values that would require a high level of judgment to determine fair value. The following table summarizes assets measured on a recurring basis based on the fair value hierarchy:

	Quoted Pri Markets for I (Le		
	April 30, 2012		October 31, 2011
	(In the	1	
Money Market Fund investments	\$ 43,878	\$	80,688
Pension plan assets	18,785		14,004
Total	\$ 62,663	\$	94,692

The Company holds Money Market Fund investments that are classified as cash equivalents and pension plan assets measured at fair value based on active market quotations, which represent Level 1 inputs. As of April 30, 2012 and October 31, 2011, the Company did not have any assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis in Level 2 or Level 3.

16. Stock Repurchase Program and Treasury Stock

On May 27, 2010, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program of 1.0 million shares, and on August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized an additional 1.0 million shares to this program. The objectives of this program are to manage the dilution created by shares issued under stock-based compensation plans and to repurchase shares opportunistically. The Company records treasury stock purchases under the cost method whereby the entire cost of the acquired stock is recorded as treasury stock. The Company uses a moving-average method on the subsequent reissuance of shares, and any resulting proceeds in excess of cost are credited to additional paid in capital while any deficiency is charged to retained earnings.

As of October 31, 2011, the number of shares in treasury stock was 1,035,288. During the six months ended April 30, 2012, the Company purchased 94,337 shares at a cost of \$1.3 million partially offset by shares issued for stock option exercises and restricted stock grants for a net decrease to the number of shares in treasury stock to 967,816 as of April 30, 2012. Since inception of the program, Quanex has purchased 1,094,337 shares through April 30, 2012. The remaining shares authorized for repurchase in the program was 905,663 as of April 30, 2012.

17. Other Income (Expense)

During the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, the Company incurred \$0.1 million of transaction losses and \$9 thousand of transaction gains which are included in determining net income primarily related to Edgetech's international operations and foreign currency denominated exposures. During the three and six months ended April 30, 2011, the Company incurred \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of transaction gains related to foreign currency denominated exposures.

18. Restructuring and Related Activities

In November 2011, management committed to a plan to close its Barbourville, Kentucky facility (Barbourville) which is included in the Engineered Products segment. The consolidation plan, in part, calls for the permanent closing of Barbourville. The equipment used to manufacture the single seal spacer system is being relocated to the Company's Cambridge, Ohio facility. The Company believes this consolidation will allow it to better serve customers through streamlined operations. The consolidation of operations and the subsequent closure of the Barbourville facility are expected to be completed during 2012.

Under ASC Topic 712, "Compensation — Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits", the Company is required to record charges for contractual termination benefits and other ongoing benefit arrangements when it is probable that employees will be entitled to benefits under the contract's terms and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The Company determined that certain severance pay qualifies as either a contractual termination benefit or an ongoing benefit arrangement, and accordingly has recognized \$0.9 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, in estimated severance during the three and six months ended April 30, 2012. Severance will be paid out during the remainder of 2012, provided employees continue their employment until their planned exit dates.

Under ASC Topic 420, "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations," the Company is required to record charges for one-time employee termination benefits, contract termination costs, and other associated costs as incurred. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, the Company expensed \$2.3 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, of facilities consolidation costs.

All other costs related to the closure and relocation activities are being recorded as incurred, when they meet the definition of a liability, and they are included in the statements of income as selling, general, and administrative expenses, in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance. Cumulative costs incurred as of April 30, 2012 total \$5.7 million. Total expected costs of \$9 million for the project are comprised of approximately \$4 million for employee-related costs and \$5 million for plant closure, equipment moving and set up costs, substantially all of which will be charged to selling, general and administrative expense. Management's estimates of costs, planned exit dates for employees and the timing of the project completion are subject to change.

In February 2012, the Company and the union reached an agreement to pay a one-time incentive bonus to employees upon their planned exit date termination, providing certain performance criteria are met. The salaried employees are being offered the same one-time incentive bonus under the same terms as the union employees. The incentive bonus expense will be recognized ratably over the remaining performance period and is included in the total employee-related cost number above.

During November 2010, the Company committed to a plan to shut down its plant in The Dalles (included in the Engineered Products segment) and service its clients out of other locations. The Dalles shut down was completed in January 2011. The Company accrued a net lease liability for the difference between remaining lease rental payments offset by sublease income, since management subleased the facility in November 2011. The Company does not expect to have any additional charges for contract termination and other exit costs related to The Dalles.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability balances showing charges to expense, cash payments and other adjustments for the six months ended April 30, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	Employee termination costs			Facility consolidation costs	Contract termination and other exit costs			Total
Reconciliation of the liability in the balance sheet								
Beginning balance, October 31, 2011	\$	_	\$	_	\$	722	\$	722
Charges to expense, continuing operations		3,025		2,663		_		5,688
Cash payments		(725)		(1,992)		(212)		(2,929)
Other adjustments		_		_		_		_
Ending balance, April 30, 2012	\$	2,300	\$	671	\$	510	\$	3,481

The employee termination and facility consolidation activity above related to the IG spacer consolidation program while

the contract termination and other exit costs relate to The Dalles shut down.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

The discussion and analysis of Quanex Building Products Corporation and its subsidiaries' financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the April 30, 2012 Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and the accompanying notes and in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011. References made to the "Company" or "Quanex" include Quanex Building Products Corporation and its subsidiaries and Quanex Corporation (accounting predecessor to Quanex Building Products Corporation) unless the context indicates otherwise.

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act

Certain of the statements contained in this document and in documents incorporated by reference herein, including those made under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" are "forward-looking" statements as defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Generally, the words "expect," "believe," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "project," "will" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, which generally are not historical in nature. All statements which address future operating performance, events or developments that the Company expects or anticipates will occur in the future, including statements relating to volume, sales, operating income and earnings per share, and statements expressing general outlook about future operating results, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the Company's historical experience and the present projections or expectations. As and when made, management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable. However, caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements since such statements speak only as of the date when made and there can be no assurance that such forward-looking statements will occur. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Factors exist that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from the expected results described in or underlying the Company's forward-looking statements. Such factors include domestic and international economic activity, prevailing prices of aluminum scrap and other raw material costs, the rate of change in prices for aluminum scrap, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, energy costs, interest rates, construction delays, market conditions, particularly in the home building and remodeling markets, any material changes in purchases by the Company's principal customers, labor supply and relations, environmental regulations, changes in estimates of costs for known environmental remediation projects and situations, world-wide political stability and economic growth, warranty obligations, the Company's successful implementation of its internal operating plans, acquisition strategies and integration, performance issues with key customers, suppliers and subcontractors, and regulatory changes and legal proceedings. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements contained herein will occur or that objectives will be achieved. All written and verbal forward-looking statements attributable to the Company or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by such factors. For more information, see Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended October 31, 2011.

About Third-Party Information

In this report, the Company relies on and refers to information regarding industry data obtained from market research, publicly available information, industry publications, U.S. government sources and other third parties. Although the Company believes the information is reliable, it cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the information and has not independently verified it.

Description of Business

On December 12, 2007, Quanex Building Products Corporation was incorporated in the state of Delaware as a subsidiary of Quanex Corporation to facilitate the separation of Quanex Corporation's vehicular products and building products businesses. The separation occurred on April 23, 2008 through the spin-off of Quanex Corporation's building products business to its shareholders immediately followed by the merger of Quanex Corporation (consisting principally of the vehicular products business and all non-building products related corporate accounts) with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gerdau S.A. (Gerdau).

The spin-off and subsequent merger is hereafter referred to as the "Separation". For purposes of describing the events related to the Separation, as well as other events, transactions and financial results of Quanex Corporation and its subsidiaries related to periods prior to April 23, 2008, the term "the Company" refers to Quanex Building Products Corporation's accounting predecessor, Quanex Corporation. In March 2011, the Company acquired Edgetech I.G., Inc. and its German subsidiary. Headquartered in Cambridge, Ohio, Edgetech has three manufacturing facilities (U.S., U.K., and Germany) that produce and market a full line of insulating glass spacer systems for window and door customers in North America and abroad.

In November 2011, management committed to a plan to consolidate its Insulating Glass (IG) spacer manufacturing facility in Barbourville, Kentucky into its IG spacer manufacturing facility in Cambridge, Ohio. In 2010, management closed its start-up facility in China due to the contraction of demand and the Company's ability to serve the overseas thin film solar panel market from its North American operations. Accordingly, the China assets and liabilities, results of operations and cash flows are reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Unless otherwise noted, all discussions reflect only continuing operations.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Summary Information

	Three Months Ended								Six Months Ended								
		April 30,							April 30,								
		2012		2011		Change	%		2012	2012 2011			Change	%			
							(Dollars	in mi	illions)								
Net sales	\$	194.4	\$	203.1	\$	(8.7)	(4.3)	\$	356.0	\$	362.9	\$	(6.9)	(1.9)			
Cost of sales 1		171.8		175.5		(3.7)	(2.1)		309.9		315.2		(5.3)	(1.7)			
Selling, general and administrative		29.1		22.0		7.1	32.3		54.3		42.3		12.0	28.4			
Depreciation and amortization		9.6		7.9		1.7	21.5		19.2		15.4		3.8	24.7			
Operating income (loss)		(16.1)		(2.3)		(13.8)	600.0		(27.4)		(10.0)		(17.4)	174.0			
Interest expense		(0.1)		(0.1)				_	(0.2)		(0.2)		_				
Other, net		_		0.3		(0.3)	(100.0)		0.2		0.4		(0.2)	(50.0)			
Income tax (expense) benefit		3.9		0.7		3.2	457.1		8.4		3.6		4.8	133.3			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(12.3)	\$	(1.4)	\$	(10.9)	778.6	\$	(19.0)	\$	(6.2)	\$	(12.8)	206.5			

Exclusive of items shown separately below.

Overview

The first six months of fiscal year 2012 saw some positive signs for the overall housing market including rising housing starts and falling new home inventory levels, indicators for Quanex's end markets. Unseasonably warm weather during the winter months resulted in early favorable signs. In the most recent couple of months, which is the typical start of the building season, demand did not retreat, suggesting the early positive signs were not entirely weather related. Quanex believes the best indicator of its end markets are U.S. window shipments as reported by Ducker Worldwide, a market intelligence firm. Ducker reported that U.S. window shipments for the last twelve months were down 7% as compared0%to the previous twelve month period. The headwinds that have persisted throughout the downturn, declining housing prices, low consumer confidence and reduced homeowner lending continue, albeit to a lesser extent as of late.

Ducker reported that the first calendar quarter, the best comparison for the Company's second fiscal quarter, experienced a 6% increase in window shipments over the comparable previous year period. This is compared to the Company's Engineered Products segment that experienced a 16% increase in same store sales. Edgetech sales are reflected beginning with the acquisition date of March 31, 2011. Edgetech contributed \$20.2 million and \$39.0 million, respectively, of Net sales for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 while the remaining Quanex businesses' Net sales declined \$22.5 million and \$39.6 million or 11.4% and 11.1% for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same 2011 periods; the decline was realized within the Aluminum Sheet Products segment both from reduced volume from the strike at two of Nichols' Davenport, Iowa facilities (see further discussion in Aluminum Sheet) and lower aluminum prices. Same store Net sales from Engineered Products for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 increased \$12.5 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, or 16.4% and 5.6%, respectively, compared to the previous year predominantly related to higher vinyl extrusion sales. The operating loss for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 was \$13.8 million and \$17.4 million, respectively, more than the year ago comparative periods, most of which is tied to lower volume and lower aluminum prices experienced in the aluminum segment while Engineered Products recognized a \$0.6 million dollar improvement in operating income for the six months.

Quanex historically experiences its lowest sales during the first half of its fiscal year as winter weather typically reduces homebuilding and home improvement activity. Sales are generally seasonally stronger in the second half of the fiscal year with the weather sensitive building season underway. Profits tend to be lower in quarters with lower sales because a high percentage

of manufacturing overhead and operating expense is due to labor and other costs that are generally semi-variable through the year. Macro-economic factors like weak residential construction and high unemployment will continue to create difficult end market conditions for Quanex. The Company currently expects calendar year 2012 U.S. window shipments to be about 39 million units, below Ducker's 2012 forecasted shipments of 40.5 million. However, the Company remains positive on the long term prospects of its residential and commercial end markets and will continue to invest in its future.

The Company believes that consumer demand for more energy efficient products and its ability to provide innovative window and door systems in addition to stand-alone components will fuel long-term organic growth incremental to the eventual housing market recovery. The integration of Edgetech into the Engineered Products sales and marketing organization was completed in September 2011 and it is clear that Quanex is already a stronger customer-focused organization as a result. The Company remains bullish long-term as demographics for long-term housing demand in the U.S. remain favorable when factoring the projected population increase and continuing immigration. The Company believes taking a disciplined approach to the way it seeks new business opportunities will make it a more successful company and a stronger competitor by offering a broader range of customers a more robust slate of systems, products, services and solutions, while intensely focusing on continuously improving customer service. Additionally, the Company is elevating its programs to develop more energy efficient products. These programs and initiatives, coupled with an eventual return to a more normal new home construction market and residential repair and remodel (R&R) market, will benefit Quanex over the long term.

Business Segments

Quanex has two reportable segments: Engineered Products and Aluminum Sheet Products. The Engineered Products segment produces systems, finished products, and components serving the OEM residential window and door industry, while the Aluminum Sheet Products segment produces mill finished and coated aluminum sheet serving the broader residential building products markets. The primary market drivers of both segments are residential repair and remodel activity and new home construction.

For financial reporting purposes, three of the Company's four operating segments, Homeshield, Insulating Glass (IG) and Mikron, have been aggregated into the Engineered Products reportable segment. The remaining operating segment, Aluminum Sheet Products (Nichols Aluminum), is reported as a separate reportable segment. Corporate & Other is comprised of corporate office expenses and certain inter-division eliminations. The sale of products between segments is recognized at market prices. The financial performance of the operations is based upon operating income. The segments follow the accounting principles described in Item 1, Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements of the Company's 2011 Form 10-K. The two reportable segments value inventory on a FIFO or weighted-average basis while the LIFO reserve relating to those operations accounted for under the LIFO method of inventory valuation is computed on a consolidated basis in a single pool and treated as a corporate item.

Three and Six Months Ended April 30, 2012 Compared to Three and Six Months Ended April 30, 2011

Engineered Products

	Three Months Ended							Six Months Ended						
	April 30,							April 30,						
	2012		2011		Change		%	2012		2011		Change		%
	<u> </u>						(Dollars iı	n mill	ions)					_
Net sales	\$	108.8	\$	82.5	\$	26.3	31.9	\$	208.1	\$	166.5	\$	41.6	25.0
Cost of sales 1		83.4		63.1		20.3	32.2		158.4		131.6		26.8	20.4
Selling, general and administrative		18.3		11.9		6.4	53.8		33.8		22.6		11.2	49.6
Depreciation and amortization		7.0		5.6		1.4	25.0		14.0		11.0		3.0	27.3
Operating income (loss)	\$	0.1	\$	1.9	\$	(1.8)	(94.7)	\$	1.9	\$	1.3	\$	0.6	46.2

Exclusive of items shown separately below.

Engineered Products is focused on providing window and door OEM customers with fenestration components, products and systems. Key end markets are residential remodel and repair and new home construction. Engineered Products finished the period with sales up \$26.3 million or 32% for the quarter and up \$41.6 million or 25% for the six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same 2011 periods. The improvement in Net sales was predominantly related to the contribution of three and six months of results from Edgetech in 2012 compared to one month of 2011 results as Edgetech was acquired on March 31, 2011.

Edgetech contributed \$20.2 million and \$39.0 million of sales for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$6.4 million for the one month ended April 30, 2011. Second fiscal quarter and six month 2012 same store Net sales increased 16.4% and 5.6%, respectively compared to 2011 even though the beginning of 2011 benefited from a pull-forward of demand as a result of a \$1,500 energy efficient window tax credit program that expired on December 31, 2010. There were no similar tax credits in the fiscal 2012. This gain was primarily from higher vinyl fenestration extrusion sales where the business executed exceptionally well and saw demand rise. While it is always difficult to precisely know whether Quanex products find their way into either the new home construction market or R&R market, the Company believes favorable weather, stronger new home construction and market share gains were drivers this quarter. Quanex believes there is value in measuring its sales performance against industry indices. Engineered Products compares its sales to US window shipments as reported by Ducker Worldwide. For the twelve months ended April 30, 2012, Engineered Products' Net sales before contributions from acquisitions were up 3.3% compared to the previous twelve months, versus US window shipments that were down approximately 7%. The industry experienced a more normal low seasonal volume demand during the first six months of 2012; uncertainty remains as to what level the demand will be during the remainder of 2012. There were clearly favorable signs in housing in the first six months and time will tell as to how much can be attributed to the mild winter experienced thus far versus the beginnings of a real housing recovery. The long-term recovery is likely to take better hold once changes in housing prices, credit availability and consumer confidence turn to the positive.

Net sales less Cost of sales (Contribution margin) at Engineered Products for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same period of last year improved by \$6.1 million and \$14.8 million, respectively, including Edgetech's contribution of \$4.7 million and \$9.8 million, respectively. Net sales less Cost of sales as a percent of Net sales for the six months ended April 30, 2012 is higher than the same 2011 period (with and without acquisitions) even with the weak market and pressure on volume. Increased revenues, combined with pricing initiatives, cost controls and productivity improvements strengthened margins. One such pricing initiative was the oil-based raw material surcharge initiated May 1, 2011 at Truseal, one of the Company's insulating glass spacer operations. The surcharge helped Truseal offset the higher cost of butyl, a key raw material of the business that is highly correlated to the price of oil. In 2011, Quanex consolidated facilities in Kent, Washington and closed a facility in The Dalles, Oregon. Of the \$3.1 million in 2011 plant consolidation costs, \$1.3 million is recognized in Cost of sales benefiting the six month margin comparison. The six months ended April 30, 2011 also includes \$2.1 million of warranty reserve costs which contributed to the year over year improvement in margins.

On November 7, 2011, the Company announced a consolidation program for its IG spacer manufacturing facility in Barbourville, Kentucky into its IG spacer manufacturing facility in Cambridge, Ohio. At the completion of the consolidation, which is expected in the fourth quarter of 2012, the Barbourville facility will be permanently closed. The consolidation is ahead of schedule and remains on budget. Total cash spending associated with the consolidation plan are estimated at about \$16.0 million (excludes a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$1.6 million taken in the fourth quarter 2011). Of the \$16.0 million of cash, total expenses (to be recognized in Selling, general and administrative costs) are expected to be \$9.0 million for the project, comprised of approximately \$4.0 million for employee-related costs and \$5.0 million for plant closure, equipment moving and set up costs, substantially all of which will be charged to Selling, general and administrative expense. Management's estimates of costs, planned exit dates for employees and the timing of the project completion are subject to change. The Company expects an annual pre-tax cash savings of \$9.0 million once the consolidation is concluded.

Selling, general and administrative costs increased for the three and six months ended 2012 from the addition of Edgetech, which represented \$2.8 million and \$6.6 million, respectively, of the higher expenses, as well as more plant consolidation expenses in 2012 compared to 2011. The three and six months ended April 30, 2012 Selling, general and administrative costs included expenses of \$3.2 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, associated with the Company's IG spacer consolidation program compared to \$1.4 million (included in the total \$3.1 million discussed above) in first quarter 2011 related to plant consolidations. In March 2012, a portion of the roof collapsed at the Barbourville, Kentucky facility due to extreme weather. While \$0.5 million of clean-up and related costs were incurred during the second quarter 2012, customers had minimal to no service interruption as the clean-up activities were well executed and equipment moves to Cambridge were expedited. While the Company anticipates incurring some additional related costs in the third quarter, the Company expects a cash recovery for damages as the insurance claim is processed and settled. Insurance recoveries are recognized when realized, and may occur in periods subsequent to costs being incurred. Selling, general and administrative costs for the full fiscal year of 2012 are expected to increase over fiscal 2011 as a full twelve months of expense associated with Edgetech is realized and as the IG spacer consolidation project is completed in the second half of 2012.

Depreciation and amortization has increased for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to the addition of Edgetech, which represented \$1.4 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, of the higher depreciation and amortization. Partially offsetting this increase for the six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same 2011 period was \$0.3 million (included in the \$3.1 million discussed above) of accelerated depreciation related to the plant consolidations was recognized in the first quarter of 2011. Depreciation and amortization for the full fiscal year of 2012 is expected to increase over fiscal 2011 as a full twelve months of expense associated with the recognition of Edgetech intangible assets and the step-up in

Edgetech's tangible assets is realized going forward.

Engineered Products' operating income for the second quarter declined by \$1.8 million while operating income for the six months ended April 30, 2012 exceeded the same 2011 period by \$0.6 million. The 2012 reported results and margins were negatively impacted by the aforementioned IG spacer consolidation program and roof collapse related expenses as well as the Company's start up spacer facility in Germany. The three and six months ended April 30, 2012 saw \$3.7 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, of the combined IG consolidation and roof related expense compared to \$5.2 million of combined facility consolidation and warranty reserve costs in the six months ended April 30, 2011. The Company continues to believe that investments in growth opportunities like Germany and plant consolidations like the IG consolidation program will have long-term benefits that will outweigh these current period costs and lead to revenue growth and margin improvements in future years.

Even in the face of weaker window demand, 2012 same store Net sales increased 16.4% and 5.6%, during the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same 2011 periods from the strong performance of vinyl fenestration extrusions which saw a demand rise from favorable weather and market share gains. Engineered Products' long-term organic growth programs are focused on driving profitable growth through a single sales and marketing team that is intensely focused on driving continuous improvement of customer satisfaction. The Company believes this will drive profitable growth at Engineered Products by furthering the goal of becoming the leading energy efficiency expert in the market by offering customers state-of-the-art engineering, design and marketing support. Engineered Products is in the early stages of its long-term organic growth initiatives but believes they will have a meaningful impact on its long-term growth and profitability. The Company will continue to incur expenses and to invest in additional resources at Engineered Products to support this organic growth effort.

Aluminum Sheet Products

	Three Months Ended								Six Months Ended April 30,						
		April 30,													
		2012		2011		Change	%		2012		2011		Change	%	
							(Dollars i	n mill	lions)						
Net sales	\$	88.3	\$	123.0	\$	(34.7)	(28.2)	\$	154.0	\$	202.2	\$	(48.2)	(23.8)	
Cost of sales 1		91.0		112.7		(21.7)	(19.3)		157.5		187.1		(29.6)	(15.8)	
Selling, general and administrative		2.8		2.0		0.8	40.0		5.1		4.1		1.0	24.4	
Depreciation and amortization		2.0		2.3		(0.3)	(13.0)		4.5		4.4		0.1	2.3	
Operating income (loss)	\$	(7.5)	\$	6.0	\$	(13.5)	(225.0)	\$	(13.1)	\$	6.6	\$	(19.7)	(298.5)	
Shipped pounds		61.1		79.7		(18.6)	(23.3)		105.3		131.9		(26.6)	(20.2)	

Exclusive of items shown separately below.

The primary market drivers for the Aluminum Sheet Products segment are residential repair and remodel activity and new home construction (together approximately 70% of the segment's sales) and transportation (approximately 20% of the segment's sales) markets.

On January 20, 2012, Nichols Aluminum experienced a strike by about 240 bargaining unit employees at its two facilities located in Davenport, Iowa. During the second quarter, Nichols offered permanent positions to the approximately 100 temporary employees it hired since the strike began. The majority of those employees accepted a full time position. Shortly after that action, the union ended its strike. At this time, Nichols does not have a formal agreement with the union, but is operating with the necessary crew levels to meet demand.

The decrease in net sales at the Aluminum Sheet Products segment for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 was the result of a 23.3% and 20.2%, respectively, decrease in shipments and a 6.5% and 4.6%, respectively, decrease in average selling price compared to the same period of 2011. Shipments were down in 2012 from the year ago quarter due primarily to reduced production related to the strike. The Company estimates that approximately two-thirds of the second quarter volume decline and one half of the six months volume decline is attributable to the strike. Customer demand improved during the quarter as seasonal construction activity increased, but Nichols was unable to meet that rising demand. In addition, shipments were down for the six months ended 2012 in part due to weaker end demand because of the absence of the window tax credit program compared to the available program in 2011. Average selling price decreased primarily due to lower London Metal Exchange (LME) aluminum prices in the comparative period and to a lesser extent down due to a lower percentage of painted shipments in 2012 compared to 2011. LME aluminum prices are the most commonly used index for correlating aluminum sheet prices. The Aluminum Association,

which tracks aluminum industry shipments of sheet products, reported non-can sheet aluminum shipments in the first half of fiscal 2012 up 8.3% from a year ago, while Aluminum Sheet Products' shipments were down. Nichols underperformance is primarily attributed to the impact of the strike as well as relatively weaker residential demand, where it has a large presence, compared to relatively stronger distribution and transportation demand, where it has a smaller presence.

Selling, general and administrative costs increased by \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same 2011 periods primarily due to the strike. These costs are directly associated with the negotiating activities themselves and include such items as legal fees and security.

Depreciation and amortization has decreased for the second quarter of 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to accelerated depreciation during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 related to the reduction of an asset's remaining useful life.

Operating income decreased at the Aluminum Sheet Products segment for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, compared to prior year due to reduced volume, higher costs associated with purchasing semi-finished aluminum coils from third parties, higher conversion costs associated with the processing of semi-finished coils into finished sheet, and the impact of a lower spread (sales less material costs) associated with purchasing semi-finished coils as well as a general reduction in LME aluminum prices. In an attempt to meet improving demand, Nichols purchased semi-finished aluminum coils from third parties to help make up for reduced casting capacity from the strike. Third party coils are expensive relative to Nichols' internal cost of production, and third party coils require additional finishing work to be done by the Nichols finishing plants. Nichols is a high fixed cost business, so as its shipments drop, its ability to substantially reduce operating costs is limited. The segment's operating income and margins are impacted by changes in LME aluminum prices as its spread is correlated with aluminum prices over time. Declines in aluminum prices generally result in spread compression; however, as aluminum prices rebound, spread and profits generally expand. In the second quarter 2012, estimated direct expenses associated with the strike were approximately \$5 million and the volume related operating income impact is estimated at about \$4 million. The net direct expenses of \$5 million represent the aforementioned costs associated with the purchase of semi-finished aluminum coils from third parties and to a lesser extent include outside maintenance, legal fees, security costs and incremental expenses associated with the salary workforce that stepped in to continue to keep the two facilities operating; estimated labor savings are included as a benefit in the net direct expenses figure. Although the strike hurt the 2012 second fiscal quarter results, Quanex believes the outcome has improved the competitivenes

With the return of the necessary crew levels operating at Nichols, non-routine costs are expected to fall while productivity and shipped pounds are expected to rise in the second half of 2012 compared to the first half. The Company does expect approximately \$2 million of remaining strike-related, carryover expenses in the third fiscal quarter of 2012 associated with Nichols' purchase of semi-finished coils. Additionally, LME aluminum prices are hovering around 90 cents per pound currently, and the aluminum scrap supply is tight. This means that scrap costs today are expensive relative to the LME price, so the Company expects its 2012 second half spread to be lower than it was in the second half of fiscal 2011.

Corporate and Other

	Three Months Ended April 30,								Six Months Ended							
								April 30,								
		2012		2011		Change	%		2012		2011		Change	%		
							(Dollars i	ı mill	lions)							
Net sales	\$	(2.7)	\$	(2.4)	\$	(0.3)	12.5	\$	(6.1)	\$	(5.8)	\$	(0.3)	5.2		
Cost of sales 1		(2.6)		(0.3)		(2.3)	766.7		(6.0)		(3.5)		(2.5)	71.4		
Selling, general and administrative		8.0		8.1		(0.1)	(1.2)		15.4		15.6		(0.2)	(1.3)		
Depreciation and amortization		0.6		_		0.6	100.0		0.7		_		0.7	100.0		
Operating income (loss)	\$	(8.7)	\$	(10.2)	\$	1.5	(14.7)	\$	(16.2)	\$	(17.9)	\$	1.7	(9.5)		

¹ Exclusive of items shown separately below.

Corporate and Other operating expenses, which are not in the segments mentioned above, include intersegment eliminations, the consolidated LIFO inventory adjustments (calculated on a combined pool basis), if any, and corporate office expenses. Net sales amounts represent intersegment eliminations between the Engineered Products segment and the Aluminum Sheet Products segment with an equal and offsetting elimination in Cost of sales. Included in Cost of sales for the three and six months ended

April 30, 2011 was \$2.0 million of LIFO expense with no comparative costs in 2012. LIFO related expense/income is derived from management's estimate of year-end inventory volume and pricing. Management is currently estimating that aluminum scrap values held in inventory by the Company will be flat at October 31, 2012 compared to October 31, 2011. Accordingly, no year-end LIFO adjustment was recorded during the six months ended April 30, 2012. Management updates this estimate each quarter in an effort to determine what amount, if any, should be recorded in the period. The actual adjustment is trued-up in the fourth quarter once the year-end volume levels and pricing are known.

Selling, general and administrative costs were essentially flat year over year. The Company initiated a company-wide ERP project (Project Quest) in 2011 to support the drive for long-term organic growth by bringing the myriad of disparate systems currently existing throughout the Company together into a single standard system supported by a common set of processes. The current plan anticipates the conversion of all of the Company's disparate systems to a single system over a period of at least three years. Due to a full three and six months of activity in the periods ended April 30, 2012, expenses associated with the development of Project Quest increased by \$0.8 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, compared to the same 2011 periods. Project Quest costs recognized in Selling, general and administrative expenses during the six months ended April 30, 2012 were \$1.8 million. During fiscal 2011, the Company recognized \$1.5 million of Project Quest expense and expects an increase of expense in fiscal 2012 primarily due to a full twelve months of activity in 2012 as it prepares for the initial conversions of the legacy systems in fiscal 2013. Project Quest depreciation expense of \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million was incurred for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, respectively, in conjunction with the 2012 implementation of the human capital management module of the ERP system. As the new ERP system is placed in service (replacing the legacy systems) the Company expects depreciation expense to increase. Transaction related costs associated with the acquisition of Edgetech during fiscal 2011 offset the higher Project Quest costs in 2012. Included in the results for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011, was \$1.1 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, of transaction related costs with no comparative costs in 2012.

Other items

Other, net typically includes interest income earned on the Company's cash and equivalents and, beginning with the acquisition of Edgetech in March 2011, foreign currency transaction gains and losses. During the three and six months ended April 30, 2012, other income decreased by \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, primarily due to a decline in net foreign currency transaction gains of \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million compared to the respective 2011 periods,

The Company's estimated annual effective tax rate for the three and six months ended April 30, 2012 is 24.3% and 30.7%, respectively, compared to 32.5% and 37.2% for the respective three and six months ended April 30, 2011. The decrease in the tax rate benefit is primarily attributable to nondeductible employee related items in the current year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's principal sources of funds are cash on hand, cash flow from operations, and borrowings under its \$270.0 million Senior Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility (the Credit Facility). As of April 30, 2012, the Company has a solid liquidity position, comprised of cash and equivalents and adequate availability under the Company's Credit Facility. The Company has \$54.1 million of cash and equivalents, \$166.0 million of current availability under the revolving credit facility and minimal debt of \$1.5 million as of April 30, 2012. Cash equivalents for the six months ended April 30, 2012 decreased by \$35.5 million to \$54.1 million primarily due to planned capital expenditures, the seasonally slower first half of the fiscal year, the Nichols strike and the planned IG spacer consolidation project. The Company's strategy for cash uses is to invest in organic growth opportunities, make strategic acquisitions that fit its fenestration vision, fund the cash dividend and make ongoing purchases of Quanex stock.

The Company's excess cash and equivalents are invested only in large, overnight money market funds due to the conditions of the financial market. The funds are diversified by security type across Treasuries, Government Agencies and Prime Corporate. These funds are all AAA-rated, approved by the NAIC and compliant with Rule 2A-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. The Company's current investments are diversified across multiple institutions that the Company believes to be financially sound. The Company intends to remain in highly rated money market funds, financial institutions and treasuries following a prudent investment philosophy. From time to time, to prepare for potential disruption in the money markets, the Company may temporarily move funds into operating bank accounts of highly-rated financial institutions to meet on-going operational liquidity requirements. The Company had no material losses on its cash and marketable securities investments.

The Credit Facility was executed on April 23, 2008 and has a five-year term. Proceeds from the Credit Facility may be used to provide availability for acquisitions, working capital, capital expenditures, and general corporate purposes. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at a spread above LIBOR based on a combined leverage and ratings grid. There are certain limitations on additional indebtedness, asset or equity sales, and acquisitions. Dividends and other distributions are permitted so long as after giving effect to such dividend or stock repurchase, there is no event of default. Under the Credit Facility, the Company is obligated to comply with certain financial covenants requiring the Company to maintain a Consolidated Leverage Ratio of no more than

3.25 to 1 and a Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio of no less than 3.00 to 1. As defined by the indenture, the Consolidated Leverage Ratio is the ratio of consolidated indebtedness as of such date to consolidated EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization) for the previous four fiscal quarters, and the Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is the ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense, in each case for the previous four consecutive fiscal quarters. EBITDA is defined by the indenture to include proforma EBITDA of acquisitions and to exclude certain items like goodwill and intangible asset impairments and certain other non-cash charges and non-recurring items. The availability under the Credit Facility is a function of both the facility amount utilized and meeting covenant requirements. Additionally, the availability of the Credit Facility is dependent upon the financial viability of the Company's lenders. The Credit Facility is funded by a syndicate of nine banks, with three banks comprising over 55% of the commitment. If any of the banks in the syndicate were unable to perform on their commitments to fund the facility, the availability under the Credit Facility could be reduced; however, the Company has no reason to believe that such liquidity will be unavailable or decreased. The Company intends to execute a facility prior to the expiration of the Credit Facility.

As of April 30, 2012, the Company had no borrowings under the Credit Facility, and the Company was in compliance with all Credit Facility covenants as seen by the table below:

At April 30, 2012	Required	Actual
Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio	No less than 3.00 to 1	117.12 to 1
Consolidated Leverage Ratio	No more than 3.25 to 1	0.15 to 1

Although there were no borrowings on the Credit Facility and only \$5.7 million of outstanding letters of credit under the Credit Facility, the aggregate availability under the Credit Facility was limited by the Consolidated Leverage Ratio resulting in an availability of \$166.0 million at April 30, 2012. Because the Consolidated Leverage Ratio is based on a rolling twelve months of EBITDA, a change in future earnings will impact the amount available under the Credit Facility in future quarters, absent any pro-forma EBITDA benefit from any potential acquisitions. To have access to the full availability of the \$270.0 million Credit Facility, the Company must have a minimum rolling EBITDA of approximately \$84 million for the previous four fiscal quarters. Actual rolling EBITDA for the previous four fiscal quarters was \$53.5 million as of April 30, 2012. Increased earnings for any future periods could increase availability under the Credit Facility; conversely, reduced earnings for any future periods could adversely impact the amount available under the Credit Facility in future quarters, absent any pro-forma EBITDA benefit from any potential acquisitions.

The Company believes that it has sufficient funds and adequate financial resources available to meet its anticipated liquidity needs. The Company also believes that cash balances and cash flow from operations will be sufficient in the next twelve months and foreseeable future to finance anticipated working capital requirements, capital expenditures, program costs, debt service requirements, environmental expenditures, and dividends.

The Company's working capital was \$116.8 million on April 30, 2012 compared to \$140.3 million on October 31, 2011. Working capital declined by \$23.6 million from a reduction in cash and equivalents primarily related to capital expenditures offset by an increase in conversion capital (accounts receivable plus inventory less accounts payable) of \$6.2 million. Within conversion capital, inventory increased by \$9.5 million reflecting strategic increases in levels of finished goods held, including builds to support the IG plant consolidation and to enhance customer service levels during the building season.

The following table summarizes the Company's cash flow results from continuing operations for the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Six Months Ended		
	April 30,		
	2012	2011	
	 (In mill	ions)	
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ (11.8)	\$ (5.3)	
Cash flows from investing activities	\$ (21.3)	\$ (121.0)	
Cash flows from financing activities	\$ (2.9)	\$ (3.1)	

Highlights from the Company's cash flow results for the six months ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

Operating Activities – Continuing Operations

Cash used by operating activities from continuing operations for the first six months of fiscal 2012 increased by \$6.5 million compared to the same period last year. Higher cash use is primarily attributable to reduced earnings in 2012, including strike related expenditures; \$2.7 million of IG spacer consolidation payments made during the six months ended April 30, 2012; and a \$2.3 million increase in pension contributions. These cash uses were partially offset by lower annual incentive payments made during the six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to the same 2011 period. Conversion capital activity was relatively flat using \$6.1 million of cash during the six months ended April 30, 2012 compared to using \$8.0 million of cash in the same 2011 period. The first half of fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 both saw investments in inventory levels to enhance customer service for the building season. Additionally, 2012 inventory levels were increased related to the IG spacer consolidation while 2011 inventory rose due to increases in raw material costs. The Company does not expect to make any additional pension contributions and does not expect to have any significant tax payments for the balance of fiscal 2012. IG consolidation expenditures will continue into the second half of fiscal 2012 as the IG spacer consolidation program is completed. The Company expects to generate operating cash flow during the balance of fiscal 2012 as the Company's second half of its fiscal year is typically seasonally stronger.

Investing Activities - Continuing Operations

Cash used in investing activities from continuing operations during the six months ended April 30, 2012, declined \$99.7 million compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease in cash spending from investing activities is attributable to the Company's acquisition activity in the second fiscal quarter of 2011 whereby Quanex spent \$104.4 million to acquire Edgetech and \$6.4 million to acquire JELD-WEN's vinyl extrusion assets in Yakima, Washington. Partially offsetting this decrease is a \$10.4 million increase in capital spending. In the first six months of fiscal 2012, the Company incurred \$5.7 million associated with the rollout of its company-wide ERP system (Project Quest), initiated in March 2011, compared to \$1.6 million during the same 2011 period. The Company also incurred capital expenditures of \$5.7 million during the six months ended April 30, 2012 related to its IG spacer consolidation program initiated in fiscal 2012. The Company expects total 2012 capital expenditures to approximate \$49.0 million, including IG consolidation capital spending of approximately \$7.0 million and Project Quest capital spending of \$13.0 million. At April 30, 2012, the Company had commitments of approximately \$8.9 million for the purchase or construction of capital assets. The Company plans to fund these capital expenditures through current cash and equivalents..

Financing Activities - Continuing Operations

The Company used \$2.9 million for financing activities from continuing operations during the six months ended April 30, 2012, compared to \$3.1 million in the same prior year period. The Company spent \$0.2 million less on purchases of Quanex common stock in 2012 compared to 2011 which is offset by higher proceeds from stock from option exercises of \$0.4 million. During the six months ended April 30, 2011, the Company received a \$0.4 million repayment by the China facility (discontinued cash outflow) to its Quanex parent (offsetting financing cash inflow in continuing operations).

Discontinued Operations

Cash flows from discontinued operations represent results related to the Company's start-up facility in China that was closed in fiscal year 2010. Residual 2011 cash flows represent wind-up activities, including repayment by the China facility (discontinued cash outflow) to its Quanex parent (offsetting financing cash inflow in continuing operations).

Critical Accounting Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the Company's management must make decisions which impact the reported amounts and the related disclosures. Such decisions include the selection of the appropriate accounting principles to be applied and assumptions on which to base estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, allowances for doubtful accounts, inventory, long-lived assets, environmental contingencies, insurance, U.S. pension and other post-employment benefits, litigation and contingent liabilities, warranty obligations and income taxes. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The Company's management believes the critical accounting estimates listed and described in Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K are the most important to the fair presentation of the Company's financial condition and results. These policies require management's significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements. There have been no significant changes to the Company's critical accounting estimates since October 31, 2011.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 (ASU 2011-05), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. This amendment will require companies to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income either as one continuous statement or as two consecutive statements. It eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amended guidance, which must be applied retroactively, is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 (November 1, 2012 for the Company), with earlier adoption permitted. ASU 2011-05 impacts presentation only and will have no effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12 (ASU 2011-12), "Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05." ASU 2011-12 deferred the effective date of ASU 2011-05 related to the presentation of reclassifications of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income. All other requirements of ASU 2011-05 were not affected by ASU 2011-12.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The following discussion of the Company and its subsidiaries' exposure to various market risks contains "forward looking statements" that involve risks and uncertainties. These projected results have been prepared utilizing certain assumptions considered reasonable in light of information currently available to the Company. Nevertheless, because of the inherent unpredictability of interest rates, foreign currency rates and metal commodity prices as well as other factors, actual results could differ materially from those projected in such forward looking information. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company and its subsidiaries have a Credit Facility and other long-term debt which subject the Company to the risk of loss associated with movements in market interest rates.

At April 30, 2012, the Company had fixed-rate debt totaling \$0.1 million or 9% of total debt, which does not expose the Company to the risk of earnings loss due to changes in market interest rates. The Company and certain of its subsidiaries' floating-rate obligations totaled \$1.4 million, or 91% of total debt at April 30, 2012. Based on the floating-rate obligations outstanding at April 30, 2012, a one percent increase or decrease in the average interest rate would result in a change to pre-tax interest expense of approximately \$14 thousand.

Commodity Price Risk

Within the Aluminum Sheet Products segment, the Company uses various grades of aluminum scrap as well as minimal amounts of prime aluminum ingot as raw materials for its manufacturing processes. The price of this raw material is subject to fluctuations due to many factors in the aluminum market. In the normal course of business, Nichols Aluminum enters into firm price sales commitments with its customers. In an effort to reduce the risk of fluctuating raw material prices, Nichols Aluminum enters into firm price raw material purchase commitments (which are designated as "normal purchases" under ASC Topic 815 "Derivatives and Hedging" (ASC 815)) as well as option contracts on the London Metal Exchange (LME). The Company's risk management policy as it relates to these LME contracts is to enter into contracts to cover the raw material needs of the Company's committed sales orders, to the extent not covered by fixed price purchase commitments.

Nichols Aluminum maintains a balanced metals book position which excludes a normal operational inventory level. This operating inventory level as a matter of practice is currently not hedged against material price (LME) movements. This practice reflects that over the commodity price cycle, no gain or loss is incurred on this inventory. Through the use of firm price raw material purchase commitments and LME contracts, the Company intends to protect cost of sales from the effects of changing prices of aluminum. To the extent that the raw material costs factored into the firm price sales commitments are matched with firm price raw material purchase commitments, changes in aluminum prices should have no effect. During fiscal 2012 and 2011, the Company primarily relied upon firm price raw material purchase commitments to protect cost of sales tied to firm price sales commitments. At April 30, 2012, there were 164 open LME forward contracts associated with metal exchange derivatives covering notional volumes of 9.0 million pounds with a fair value mark-to-market net loss of approximately \$0.2 million. At April 30, 2012 there were no open LME short sale contracts associated with metal exchange derivatives were not designated as hedging instruments, and any mark-to-market net gain or loss was recorded in Cost of sales with the offsetting amount reflected as a current asset or liability on the balance sheet. At October 31, 2011, there were 62 open LME forward contracts associated with metal exchange derivatives covering notional volumes of 3.4 million pounds with a fair value mark-to-market net loss of approximately \$0.1 million. In addition, at October 31, 2011 there were 97 open LME short sale contracts associated with metal exchange derivatives covering notional volumes of 5.3 million pounds with a fair value mark-to-market net gain of approximately \$0.1 million.

Within the Engineered Products segment, polyvinyl resin (PVC) is the significant raw material consumed during the manufacture of vinyl extrusions. The Company has a monthly resin adjuster in place with the majority of its customers and resin supplier that is adjusted based upon published industry resin prices for the prior month. This adjuster effectively shares the base pass-through price changes of PVC with the Company's customers commensurate with the market at large. The Company's long-term exposure to changes in PVC prices is thus significantly reduced due to the contractual component of the resin adjuster program; however, there is a level of exposure to short-term volatility due to the one month lag.

Effective May 1, 2011, one of the Company's warm edge, insulating glass spacer divisions initiated an oil-based materials surcharge. The surcharge helps offset the rising cost of butyl and other oil-based raw materials, pricing of which are highly correlated to the price of oil. The surcharge is in place with the majority of its customers and is adjusted monthly based upon the 90 day average published price for Brent crude. The oil-based raw materials purchased by the Company are subject to similar pricing schemes. Therefore, the Company's long-term exposure to changes in oil-based raw materials prices is significantly reduced due to the contractual component of the surcharge program.

Foreign Currency Rate Risk

The Company's international operations have exposure to foreign currency rate risks primarily due to fluctuations in the British Pound, the Canadian dollar and Euro. From time to time, the Company enters into foreign exchange contracts associated with its exposures from operations to manage a portion of the foreign currency rate risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (1934 Act) as of April 30, 2012. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of April 30, 2012, the disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the 1934 Act) during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On May 27, 2010, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program that authorized the repurchase of 1.0 million shares of the Company's common stock, and on August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized an additional 1.0 million shares to the program. The program does not have a dollar limit or an expiration date. There were no repurchases of shares during the quarter ended April 30, 2012, and there were 905,663 shares remaining in the program at April 30, 2012.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit <u>Number</u>	Description of Exhibits
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated as of December 12, 2007, filed as Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (Reg. No. 001-33913) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant dated as of August 25, 2011, filed as Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (Reg. No. 001-33913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference.
4.1	Form of Registrant's Common Stock certificate, filed as Exhibit 4.1 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (Reg. No. 001-33913) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
4.2	Credit Agreement dated as of April 23, 2008, among the Company, certain of its subsidiaries as guarantors, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, in its capacity as administrative agent, and certain lender parties, filed as Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (Reg. No. 001-33913) dated April 23, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
*31.1	Certification by chief executive officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a).
*31.2	Certification by chief financial officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a).
*32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith

As permitted by Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, the Registrant has not filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Registrant and its subsidiaries because the total amount of securities authorized under any of such instruments does not exceed 10% of the total assets of the Registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The Registrant agrees to furnish a copy of any such agreements to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

QUANEX BUILDING PRODUCTS CORPORATION

Date: June 11, 2012

/s/ Brent L. Korb

Brent L. Korb Senior Vice President – Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit <u>Number</u>	Description of Exhibits
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated as of December 12, 2007, filed as Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (Reg. No. 001-33913) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant dated as of August 25, 2011, filed as Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (Reg. No. 001-33913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference.
4.1	Form of Registrant's Common Stock certificate, filed as Exhibit 4.1 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 (Reg. No. 001-33913) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
4.2	Credit Agreement dated as of April 23, 2008, among the Company, certain of its subsidiaries as guarantors, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, in its capacity as administrative agent, and certain lender parties, filed as Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (Reg. No. 001-33913) dated April 23, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
*31.1	Certification by chief executive officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a).
*31.2	Certification by chief financial officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a).
*32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

^{*} Filed herewith

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, David D. Petratis, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Quanex Building Products Corporation (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures [as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)] and internal control over financial reporting [as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)] and 15d-15(f)] for the Registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

June 11, 2012

/s/ David D. Petratis

David D. Petratis Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Brent L. Korb, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Quanex Building Products Corporation (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures [as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)] and internal control over financial reporting [as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)] for the Registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

June 11, 2012

/s/ Brent L. Korb

Brent L. Korb
Senior Vice President – Finance and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Certification Pursuant To Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

We hereby certify that the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Quanex Building Products Corporation for the quarter ended April 30, 2012 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Quanex Building Products Corporation.

June 11, 2012

/s/ David D. Petratis

/s/ Brent L. Korb

David D. Petratis
Chairman of the Board, President and Chief
Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Brent L. Korb Senior Vice President—Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)